

# Asia Watch:

## Acquiring an Indian Company— An Update for U.S. Mid-Market Companies

*By David Laverty, Partner, International Counsel, and Rob Loewer, General Counsel,  
National Railway Equipment Company*

Let's say you are told that a Chicago-area mid-market company, or a private equity firm with several growing portfolio companies, is considering an expansion into India. What type of India expansion activities immediately come to mind? For a large segment of corporate America, the mention of "expansion into India" suggests the outsourcing of software coding, call centers and back-office functions. Yet, for a growing number of companies, India is being pursued less for such contract sourcing and more as an equity investment destination.

Large and middle market companies are pursuing acquisitions in India, including for sourcing key inputs. Some that have tried contract sourcing in India are buying-out the operations created by their Indian contractors or are building their own facilities. Others are US manufacturers who are finding that China does not have a monopoly on the world's manufacturing—if contract manufacturing is not for them, such companies may be considering building their own Indian manufacturing operations or acquiring Indian manufacturers with such capabilities.

This update is a follow-up to an India article in the February, 2007 edition of this publication, which offered a snapshot of India's foreign investment restrictions using Wal-Mart's India joint venture and the country's retail sector restrictions as an example. We focus here on Indian market entry through acquisition.

### India's General Foreign Investment Approval Requirements

Yes, many have criticized India's regulatory environment, and even casual observers of India will recall hearing something about Indian red tape. Such criticism is echoed by such prominent participants in the world economy as Alan Greenspan, who wrote in *The Age of Turbulence* that "India, the largest democracy in the world, has so much regulation of business activity that it significantly weakens the right to freely use and dispose of individual property. . . [which does not allow a] . . . free market economy to function effectively." However, the Indian government has, in recent years, recognized the constraint that overregulation imposes on investment and has removed some key barriers, including many foreign investment restrictions.

The 2007 ACG International Bulletin India article made the overall point that, outside of the retail sector and a limited range of other sectors, India is reasonably open to foreign investment. A typical U.S. mid-market company will find that most sectors of interest are open to 100% foreign equity investment through an automatic approval process, including virtually all of manufacturing, though a few automatic approval sectors are subject to investment caps, such as insurance (26%), telecommunication services (49%) and trading (which can be up to 51% for certain export trading but may otherwise require approval). Examples of sectors requiring investment approval through India's Foreign Investment Promotion Board include petroleum refining and print media, with outright prohibition limited to sectors such as retail trading (apart from "single brand retailing," as described in the 2007 ACG International Bulletin article), various agricultural sectors and gambling.

### Market Entry Through Acquisition

Foreign investment in India through the acquisition of shares from an Indian resident shareholder in an existing Indian company, or the purchase of the assets of such a company, must usually comply with the same set of foreign investment sectoral limits and may be eligible for the same type of "automatic approval" as foreign investment through the establishment of a new company or other equity investment options. There are other restrictions on acquisitions by non-residents which apply, for example, to transfers of shares in the financial services sector or involve takeover regulations for larger acquisitions. Apart from these issues, an acquiring US company needs to be aware of various other factors that impact an Indian acquisition decision, which include:

**Indian stamp duties favor stock and not asset purchase deals.** Acquiring companies in the US and elsewhere often prefer to purchase the assets of a company as a way of limiting their exposure to undesirable liabilities that they may inherit as a new shareholder in an existing entity. The purchase of stock, though, is gaining ground since the stamp duty imposed in asset purchase transactions is still relatively high. Though there may be ways to structure an asset transfer to further limit the impact of stamp duties, a purchaser of assets in India is subject to a stamp duty which typically ranges from 2% to 10% of the value of property depending on the type of assets involved and the State where the assets are located or where the transfer document is entered into. The purchaser of stock is not subject to the stamp duty, and pays a share transaction tax of .125% of transaction value, provided that the shares qualify as being in a "dematerialized" form, meaning that no share certificates have been issued, which is ordinarily the case.

#### REGIONAL WATCHES

Each Regional Watch is authored by an ACG Chicago member with experience in his or her respective region. The author monitors developments within the region to identify significant business trends and highlight the implications.

**Buyers cannot necessarily negotiate a low-ball price—the purchase price cannot be lower than “fair value.”** Under a rule in India that affects acquisitions, the purchase price cannot be lower than the “fair valuation” of the shares in accordance with Indian valuation guidelines. Reserve Bank of India regulations provide that the price of unlisted shares transferred by a sale from an Indian resident to a non-resident shall not be less than the fair valuation of the shares as certified by a chartered accountant and in accordance with certain “controller of capital” guidelines.

**In scouting distressed companies, be aware of potential “asset stripping” approvals.** India’s Board for Industrial and Financial Reconstruction (BIFR) must approve the acquisition of what are known as “sick” companies. This designation can apply to a company whose accumulating losses has led to a reduction by more than 50% of its net worth over a specified time period. Thus, consider whether a BIFR approval may be required as part of the acquisition process.

**In a share purchase deal, there are advantages to purchasing shares from an offshore shareholder.** If automatic approval does not apply for the sector under consideration and additional Indian approvals are required for a foreign investment, these would not apply to a foreign investor’s purchase of shares in an Indian company which are already held by an existing foreign shareholder. Moreover, the “fair valuation” determination note above is not required – the requirement applies to a transfer by an Indian resident to a non-resident, not a transfer between two non-residents (one foreign investor to another). For an asset purchase, on the other hand, a foreign investor would need to establish an Indian company to purchase the assets—this would require approval as a new foreign investment if the investment does not otherwise qualify for automatic approval.

**For both share and asset deals, consider investing through an offshore entity.** In addition to avoiding potential foreign investment approvals, such a transfer of shares from one non-resident shareholder to another may later limit capital gains taxes that would apply to the increase in value of the shares. This is an important factor for the many foreign investors who invest in India through an offshore entity in Mauritius, Singapore or another jurisdiction which has favorable tax treaties with India. Without tax treaty benefits, a sale of the shares in a private Indian company would be subject to a tax of more than 20% if the shares are held for more than 1 year and more than 30% if held for less than 1 year. However, using Mauritius as an example, if a US company first establishes a Mauritius company which in turn makes the investment in an Indian company, the Indian tax rate would be reduced to zero on such a gain. Also, if the buyer intends to finance the deal using overseas debt, interest payments on the debt will not be subject to a withholding tax if paid to a Mauritius bank. Such offshore entity considerations are not unique to India—US investors should also evaluate such approval, capital gains and withholding tax factors in structuring their investments in China and many other countries.

**Keep in mind that many Indian privately-held companies are family-owned.** Family ownership is a factor to be taken into account in Indian acquisition planning, though with uncertain impact. While private equity investors have often been forced to settle for a minority equity interest in Indian family-owned companies, in other cases it may be relatively easy to negotiate a 100% purchase of a family-owned company.

### Conclusion and Practical Implications for US Buyers of Indian Companies

Yes, the Indian regulatory environment may still have its challenges, though most US mid-market companies will find that they are able to acquire the stock or assets of Indian companies with limited Indian investment restrictions. Yet, it is important to look beyond the overall foreign investment restrictions in India as well as in other target countries. For example, a US buyer will encounter issues such as stamp duties, the use of offshore entities and “fair value” determinations that may not make the big-picture headlines (or even be among the thoughtful overview comments in Chairman Greenspan’s next book) but are critical in shaping how an acquisition in India will be structured and implemented.

\* \* \*

*The authors, David Laverty, a partner with the law firm of InternationalCounsel, and Robert Loewer, JD, MBA, MSA, the General Counsel of National Railway Equipment Company, wish to thank the law firm of Rajinder Narain & Co, New Delhi, for its verification of aspects of this article. InternationalCounsel’s international contacts and cross-border investment lawyers assist mid-market and larger companies and their investors in Asia, Europe, Latin America and elsewhere. National Railway Equipment Company and its affiliates manufacture and service locomotives in the U.S., Canada and Australia and sell them throughout Africa, Europe, Latin America and the Pacific Rim. For more information on this topic please contact David Laverty at [laverty@internationalcounsel.com](mailto:laverty@internationalcounsel.com) or 312-575-0601, or Rob Loewer at [R.Loewer@nationalrailway.com](mailto:R.Loewer@nationalrailway.com) or 708-388-6002.*